

VIRANUS

SPACE TRAVEL

FLYING SAUCERS

EDITOR: E. BIDDLE

Vol. 3 No. 1

August, 1956

Editorial	2
Anti-Gravity and Saucer Propulsion by C.F. Krafft	3
A Challenge	7
Latest Development in U.F.O. Investigation by A.R. Brimer	11
The International Flying Saucer Day	13
Fair Comment	14
The American Situation	16
"George (Aetherius Speaks) King"	17
Odd Bits Here and There	18

Published every second month - post free 1/10d or 35c.

MARKHAM HOUSE PRESS LTD., 31 KINGS ROAD, LONDON, S.W.3.

Subscription Rate (6 issues) 10/6 or £1.50

For rates in West European currencies apply to the Publishers

EDITORIAL

Will readers please note the change of the editor's address. All future correspondence to be addressed to : 195 Sandy Lane, Hindley, Nr. Wigan, Lancs.

Flying Saucers are being seen again. On Tuesday, 8th May, several people 'phoned a London Police station to say they had seen a flying saucer; this sighting was possibly confirmed by a pilot who reported the presence of a stationary object hovering over Harringay. He said it was shining and spherical in shape. There was a news flash over the I.T.A. television service at 10.45 p.m., 13th May, reporting a jet fighter chasing a silvery disc over a town in Switzerland. Another report from a scientist and a playwright describes a phenomenon which appeared over North Fulham on the same night as the T.V. flash. Trying its best to conform with the forecast of Mr. George King, a flying saucer (?) was seen over Abbotsham on the appropriate date. Will the close approach of the "red planet" bring with it the flood of sightings which some saucer enthusiasts have anticipated? There is time yet so let us wait and see.

--oOo--

It would appear that in "What is Happening In Britain" (last issue) I may have conveyed the wrong impression to readers concerning J.W.Moseley's views on the saucer mystery and an apology is in order. To clear this point I will quote from Mr. Moseley's letter... "I will say again (as I have tried to make clear all along) that I do not believe every unidentified object in the sky is a terrestrial saucer. Obviously there have been unexplained objects seen for a number of years, and just what they all are I do not know. My main contention, once again is that the vast majority of unexplained objects of recent years (let's say since the war years) which are not balloons, birds and what have you are made on this earth rather than on some other planet".

There is as usual far more latest news than can be accommodated in one issue so I will waste no more space and get on with the job.

---ooOoo---

STOP PRESS Some quite remarkable information has just come into your editor's hands; it concerns, once again, the Adamski controversy and details will appear in the next issue.

It occurs to me that I promised an analysis of Mr. Lonzo Doves criticisms concerning Adamski photographs and his second book; I think, however, that the latest news is perhaps of more importance so I will hold the analysis over.

I gather that R. Hughes, former editor of "Flying Saucer News" has been receiving letters of enquiry concerning the state of things with the B.F.S.B. in Bristol. Will correspondents please note that R. Hughes has severed all connection with the B.F.S.B. in an official capacity and not to write to him.

ANTI-GRAVITY AND SAUCER PROPULSION

by C.F.Krafft

Now that we have indisputable proof that intelligently controlled ships of the "saucer" type really exist, we should undertake a more serious study of their method of propulsion. Besides rotary propellers, the only kinds of propulsion that we are familiar with are jet and rocket propulsion, but these would be entirely inadequate to account for interplanetary saucers which leave no vapour trails behind, even during rapid acceleration. The secret of saucer propulsion is probably some electric or magnetic contrivance which we have not yet invented. Let us see if the new ether vortex theory which has been so successful in the field of atomic structure can offer any suggestions.

Besides the problem of counteracting the force of gravity there is also the problem of producing enormous accelerations. These two problems are so closely related

that a solution of one of them would probably also be a solution of the other. In all methods of propulsion that we are familiar with, a mechanical force is exerted upon a physical object so as to counteract its weight, its inertia, or both. There is however a limit to what can be done in free space on an unsupported object by the application of externally applied forces, and the limit has almost been reached in jet planes and rockets. Greater forces could be applied, but only at the expense of greater loads of fuel that would have to be carried. Some other method would have to be found before we could hope to undertake interplanetary travel, or to perform in the manner in which the saucers have been observed to perform.

Gravitational and inertial forces are due to interaction between material bodies and the ether. Instead of trying to control a body by acting upon it directly, it may be possible to control it more effectively by acting upon the ether which in turn controls the behaviour of the body. A change in the state of rest or motion of a body is always caused by a difference of ether pressure on opposite sides of the body. Thus in the case of two celestial bodies which attract each other gravitationally, the ether pressure in the space between them is less than on their remote sides. Such a difference of pressure could be neutralized if some of the ether could be transferred from the high pressure side to the low pressure side.

Since the ether is a fluid, it should be capable of being moved from one place to another. An ordinary bar magnet will not serve this purpose because the N and S poles are mirror images of each other, it being now well established that the ether circulates around the magnet and not out of one pole and into the other. If a series of magnets be arranged end-to-end so as to form a closed ring, there will be an external ether circulation similar in form to a smoke ring. If two of these closed cores be placed face-to-face against each other in such a manner that their circulating ether currents are in rolling contact with each other, they will form a large-scale replica of either the vortex proton or the vortex electron.

depending on whether the ether in the space between them flows inwardly or outwardly.

A similar transfer of ether is also produced by an electrostatic condenser. If protons and electrons are dipolar vortices with the two vortex rings in rolling contact with each other, then in a charged condenser there will be a unidirectional flow of ether from the protons of the positive charge to the electrons of the negative charge, the return flow being either around the edges of the plates or between the molecules of the dielectric.

These magnetic and electrostatic devices can be combined in many different ways, as illustrated by the following example. A convex plate of nonmagnetic metal is joined peripherally to each lateral half of the two-piece magnetic core so as to form a hollow shell. This is then covered with insulation and an outer metal shell is placed over the entire structure so as to form a hollow condenser. A static machine is then mounted inside the hollow shell to keep the condenser charged. By the use of suitable controls it should be possible to maintain a regulated flow of ether through this shell in any direction, or into or out of it so as to change the ether pressure inside.

Another method of moving a quantity of ether from one place to another would be by forming it into a vortex ring, similar to a smoke ring. The difference between vortex rings and waves is that when vortex rings travel through space they carry the entrained fluid along with them whereas when waves travel through space they leave the fluid where it is. Hence when the problem is to transport the fluid from one place to another, it is vortex motion rather than wave motion that should be used. As to how such vortex rings can be generated, ask the Martians. The writer does not know.

However fantastic the above schemes may appear, they do not seem to involve any obvious fallacy, and since they have never been tried experimentally, they should be taken up for further study. It may be true that a closed magnetic core does not maintain any external magnetic field within the usual meaning of that term, but

it does maintain an external field of some sort, otherwise it would not be able to induce an electromotive force in a solenoidal coil of wire encircling the core, and this external field can consist of nothing else than a circulation of the ether.

Another comment will probably be that such a system would be contrary to the law of conservation of energy - a violation of the first law of thermodynamics. This law applies only to isolated systems, and a process which involves the transfer of ether to and from the outside is hardly an isolated system. There is much that we still do not know about the law of conservation of energy.

The contrivance that is actually used by the saucers may be very different from what has been described above, but my only purpose here is to show that the possibilities of ether mechanics have not been exhausted. On the contrary, our study of that subject has just begun. It is entirely possible that the inhabitants of Mars or some other planet may be a million years ahead of us in these studies, and that they may be as familiar with ether mechanics as we are familiar with gases and liquids. This is not merely an idle speculation. We have seen the saucers do things that we cannot do, which should be sufficient to convince anybody that there is still much for us to learn about the elementary forces of nature. In spite of all the glamour of modern technology, the orthodox science of today is still utterly unable to draw a single plausible picture or diagram of even the simplest elementary particle, or to give any intelligible explanation for any one of the forces that act at a distance. It is only the ether vortex theory that can get us out of this stalemate. It would give us a new method of approach, and may open up a new field for experimental research.

First we should try to find some method of producing regions of increased or decreased ether pressure, and then we should study the effect of such conditions upon the elementary particles of matter. We should also study the radioactivity of single crystals of radioactive salts in an effort to find out if the radiation from the individual atoms is sent out in certain directions only. If

that is the case, then the radioactive atoms should all recoil in one direction, and with the same momentum with which the radiation is expelled. If the energy of radioactivity could be confined to one direction by this or some other method, then it should be possible to use this energy of recoil for counteracting the force of gravity in a purely mechanical manner. If the radiation consists of beta particles, and if these are vortices in the ether, then it would also be an efficient method for producing movement of the ether in the same direction because a vortex ring always carries the entrained fluid along with it. If we diligently pursue our study of the ether and its vortices, we should be able to make our own saucers before very long.

A CHALLENGE

The "Adamski controversy" is a long way from being settled. Criticisms regarding the photographs in F.S.H.L. and the alleged contact of 20th November, 1952, have come from saucer researchers all over the world. The contact has "officially" been exposed by J.W. Moseley in "NEXUS". On the face of it, it would seem that because so many points do require explanation, and have not been explained to any real satisfaction, the account of the contact contained in the book lacks conviction and anyone at this stage who reading the account and then the tremendous weight of criticism - a lot of it thoroughly scientific - and evidence against it must surely arrive at a negative conclusion.

The question which then arises is why the controversy still wages as fiercely as ever? As far as the writer can see there is only one possible answer, the personality of Adamski himself. Wherever he goes to visit and lecture, the people he addresses from the platform or stage, and the few who have managed to speak to him personally, are impressed greatly by his delivery and apparent sincerity. I have first hand knowledge of this. A very close friend of mine received a letter from a scientist who said he had met Adamski for a short time and that he was very much

impressed by him. This is typical of those who manage to spend a short time in his company. Even J.W. Moseley of all people was impressed at his first encounter and he is not afraid to admit it. Many other examples of this could be quoted but the researcher who has followed the "Adamski saga" will need no prompting. Moseley of course went further and met personally people who had spent long periods of time with Adamski. The editor of "SAUCER NEWS" now has a different opinion. Nor does he stand alone. At least one of Adamski's six sworn witnesses no longer upholds the account as presented in the book. There is a further measure of disagreement amongst several of the other witnesses. I have read a little between the lines and have also been fortunate enough to get hold of "inside information" concerning circumstances surrounding the alleged contact. Rest assured, there is far more which has been left unsaid about this supposedly physical encounter than has been divulged.

Under these circumstances it would be expected that G.A. would not wait very long before defending himself. I would say that it is very significant that so long a time has been allowed to pass without some kind of defence! Surely Adamski must have realized that if he was to remain "top saucer contactor" and "Liason Officer" for the Saucerians he has to put up something of a show.

Now, and at long last, he has defended himself and at length. (Vide "SAUCERIAN REVIEW" 1955).

This is not the time or place to go into this pitiful article. For pitiful it most certainly is and woefully inadequate. Picking on individuals and "backbiting" both traits are self incriminating slur on what a good many people have come to regard as a sincere, non-antagonistic mind. Alas (for the "Adamski-ites") this cannot be played down. All he has done is to pour personal abuse at his critics whilst sheltering behind the cover of a saucer magazine.

Throughout the whole length of this article, (introduced by childish prattlings from Alice K. Wells), Adamski offers not one solitary word of defence against his more scientific critics.

Prominent amongst these is Lonzo Dove, a professional

photographer and amateur astronomer. This very much down to earth man is concerned mostly with the Adamski photographs, not the contact as recounted in F.S.H.L. "Optically and scientifically speaking", Dove's facts are devastating to the "Adamski creed".

First of all Dove criticises Plate 7 in F.S.H.L. credited to Jerrold Baker; (who now denies taking it). The picture is very blurred, (said to be caused by fast motion). The point Dove makes here is; "motion does not blur a point of light in all directions equally but makes a lined-out blur in the direction of motion". He also points out that a "Kodak-Brownie" camera has a fixed focus lens in which any object from a distance of five feet to infinity is in focus. Therefore the "blurring" proves that the object must have been within five feet of the camera, in which case it couldn't have been more than a foot in diameter.

A far more technical analysis proves, (details available on request) according to Dove, that the picture of a "scout ship" on page 112 of F.S.H.L. which G.A. says was about 36 feet in diameter would have to have been at least 240 feet in diameter in order to have been photographed through a six inch reflector telescope as Adamski claims it to have been. Other "technical gen" brings forth more facts. "According to the fundamental laws of optics", says Dove, "it is physically and optically impossible for so great an angle to reach the diagonal eyepiece of a telescope with a six inch objective lens".

Might I suggest that in the face of this very scientific evidence Adamski should climb down, be a man and admit that he took the picture with a mere camera alone and not with a camera attached to his telescope.

Lonzo Dove has also quite a lot to say concerning parts of Adamski's second book, "Inside the Spaceships", ("SAUCER NEWS" October 1955). It would appear that Adamski's very limited powers of observation qualify him for a white stick rather than a pair of glasses. In a review of I.T.S.S. Dove employs a few basic astronomical facts and some elementary mathematics to prove that Adamski describes the Earth as appearing twenty times too small when viewed from a space ship (?) 50,000 miles

out in space!!

Although Adamski does not actually claim to be an amateur astronomer, the amount of "star gazing" he has done allegedly through his (in)famous telescope immediately leads one to believe that he should be in possession of some slight powers of observation and deduction. ("Observation" to see the Earth as it should appear from 50,000 miles out in space, and "deduction" to realize that those who hadn't made a trip in a "Venusian scout ship" would most certainly realize that something was seriously amiss and question the account). Lonzo Dove has done just this, and he has done it exceedingly well. He has wasted no time at all in coming to the point and, after reading the review one immediately suspects that Adamski dreamt the whole "flight of fancy". Another point is G.A.'s eyesight. This we are told is sufficiently faulty to debar him from driving a car on the highway. For one whose eyesight is so poor, he has done remarkably well with his telescope and displayed well above average powers of observation - or has he?

Throughout the review runs scientific criticism. It is primarily to this type of criticism that G.A. should have made a point of answering. (If he does intend or can answer it), and not to the accusations of "fortune hunting", cult forming, and pseudo-religious oratory, outpourings, etc. etc.

This article takes then the form of a CHALLENGE to Mr. GEORGE ADAMSKI - to reply, and specifically to his more scientific antagonists, most prominent amongst whom appears to be Lonzo Dove.

It is only right and proper that G.A. himself should be the counsel for his own defence. But on occasions I have noticed that Desmond Leslie has hastened to the defence of his co-author. If ever a man needed support, Adamski does NOW. How about it, Mr. Leslie? I have been aware for some time of a significant fact about your verbal and written comments concerning your "friend in need" - on one or two occasions you have voiced the opinion that one should accept G.A. "intoto" or not at all! I cannot, (nor can any other saucer researcher I have spoken to, and I can claim to have been around a little in the

"saucer world") recollect hearing (or reading) YOU affirming your acceptance of the "Adamski Lore" "intoto"!!

Come on now, Mr. L. we have heard your opinion on the place for occult evidence in the saucer mystery, now is your chance to "give out" with some cold, scientific, down to Earth facts.

Now I happen to know that Mr. Leslie has previously been presented with this same opportunity. A helpful mouthful is conspicuous by its absence.

The truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, is long overdue in this matter. I think I can safely speak on behalf of thousands of TRUE researchers. This challenge is overdue but finally it has been made. Speak up Mr. Adamski and/or Mr. Leslie, our columns are open for your reply.

LATEST DEVELOPMENT IN U.F.O. INVESTIGATION

by A.R.Brimer

The latest development in the U.F.O. investigation line is the so called "close down" of some few individuals who are alleged to have got some inkling as to the purpose of these elusive craft not previously given, the classic case of A.K.Bender being the most often quoted. There are others whose claims to fame lie also in this direction, but to the present, the reasons given for the cessation of activities on the part of those persons were vague and intangible in the extreme. The signs were that it might become fashionable to be "closed down", the aurora of mystery surrounding such an event might have proved an attraction in some cases, however, a new aspect of the matter might be worth consideration and a revised evaluation attempted.

In the June-July issue of "SAUCER NEWS", the editor J.W.Moseley puts forward some highly interesting views and a deal of information, which he states will put him in a very favourable position as a candidate for the attention of the closing down brigade. Briefly, Moseley says he has proof that some saucers are of the 'Earth made' variety and further they are of American

manufacture designed originally for the purpose of testing the heat resistance of metals intended for larger rocket craft. These "test beds" or saucers are powered by small atomic plants, and by some method, unstated, convert atomic radiation into electrical energy. According to Moseley the craft vary in size from 6' - 30' in diameter, are remotely controlled and are capable of speeds in excess of 4,000 miles per hour. The other attributes of F.S. are also inherent in the performance, viz: hovering, abrupt course changes, silent motion, glowing after dark, etc.

As they were being used for the purpose for which they were originally designed it was found that, as they absorbed radiation from the atmosphere they could be utilized for a much more urgent purpose; that of **ABSORBING DANGEROUS RADIOACTIVITY FROM THE ATMOSPHERE WHICH WAS BEING POLLUTED BY ATOMIC EXPERIMENTS.** This, Moseley claims would explain why some saucers have been seen over densely populated areas for certain periods. The saucers cannot absorb all the radiation but can only reduce the level in which process they become saturated, (to use Moseley's term) with radioactivity and have to be returned to base for decontamination. If the degree of absorption is too great the craft are guided out to sea and ditched. (Remember the various accounts of saucers diving into the ocean). All the saucers are fitted with an automatic destruction device which causes it to be expended should the altitude fall below a certain figure. "The people responsible for the operation of the saucers are prepared to take 'calculated risks' with the machines rather than let the radioactivity go unchecked", says Moseley.

In Moseley's article, the operators are identified only under the collective term of "The organization" whose existence is known only to a handful of people at the highest level of the U.S. government. Even the top personnel on "Project Blue Book" do not know of the work of "the organization".

The radiation absorption idea is one first put out by the highly imaginative saucer cultists. Strange to think, it might bear some resemblance to the truth; within recent weeks there has been some expressed concern by some

scientists at the amount of radiation to which the public are exposed. Could it be that the same scientists who are expressing concern over the usage of various shoe fitting devices, X-ray and X-ray therapy are somewhat concerned about the amount of radioactivity already present in the Earth's atmosphere and the amount already absorbed by human beings? Not too long ago a party of eminent men in the world of science appended their names to a plea for the reduction of atomic tests on the grounds of the possible harmful effect to human life. It can be said that it is a point in Moseley's favour that areas on which atomic research stations are situated appear to have a large number of saucer sightings to their credit.

Moseley is careful to state that not all saucer sightings can be put down to these craft but that they are the ones which are the cause of all the secrecy and could account for the several stories of "hush-ups". One thing remains in question... presuming Moseley's article is based on fact, one would ask the obvious question... "from whence came this information and how long can one expect the editor of "Saucer News" to retain his position?" Whatever the answer, the theories presented are worthy of serious consideration for they could provide the solution to some of the odd things connected with the saucer mystery as it stands to-day. In closing, the writer would like to hear from any, who have any material bearing on this aspect of the phenomena.

Editor's note: This is an abbreviated version of the original as submitted but no point of essential detail has been omitted. There are a great many comments I would like to make on this theory but every opportunity should be given to readers to express their views on such an important aspect of the problem so send your letters in.

THE INTERNATIONAL FLYING SAUCER DAY

Without being unduly critical one is inclined to feel that the International Flying Saucer Day was, as a matter of principle, somewhat over optimistic in its assumptions. After all, with certain exceptions, most people admit

that Flying Saucers are controlled by persons, means or methods, unresponsive to earthly attempts to control them.

Bearing this in mind it is scarcely surprising that the English section, which was held at Hampstead, in the presence of Dereck Dempster - Editor of the "Flying Saucer Review" - the organiser of the London section, Desmond Leslie, and other enthusiasts.

The foremost technical expert was Dr. Bernard Finch, who had with him a short wave oscillator of his own design. Another was Ron Russell who had a specially mounted naval gunsight telescope geared to work with an astro compass, a radiation detector of his own design, and a large telescopic scanner.

The only thing lacking was the presence of U.F.O.'s. It would be easy to be caustically critical of the whole affair, but what was really wrong was not the efficiency of the technical equipment nor the capacity of the researchers, merely the fact that neither the time nor the place seemed to have been suitable.

If Mr. Dempster had consulted the records of the BBC in their early nocturnal jaunts in the search for singing birds of various kinds, he would have ascertained that the proportion of failures was extremely high, so much so that we have the impression that the BBC have given up attempts to transmit 'live' programmes of night songsters and confine themselves to recordings which have been obtained after much trouble by teams of experts spending weeks on the job.

Any attempt to bring factual Flying Saucer manifestations to the attention of the general public ought to be done in the same manner, i.e. by compact teams of workers with equipment spending much time in getting recordings - tape, cine, meter readings, etc., first, and then presenting them to public attention.

FAIR COMMENT

Under this heading the editor of a certain well known monthly magazine writes his editorial. From time to time he has burst into print on the flying saucer

mystery and on these occasions I have read "Fair Comments" (?) with considerable amusement. The nature of this material was such that I built a picture in my mind of a studious looking individual scribbling furiously on note paper. Now I don't know whether this editor is studious looking or not but I do know that if dogmatism can be expressed on the features then it would surely be present on his studious (?) countenance. However it appears that his dogmatism is wearing a little thin, for in the June issue of the magazine he "picks on" Lord Dowding and the luckless George Adamski. These saucer personalities are notoriously easy to criticise and I consider it safe to assume that about 80% of the more genuine saucer researchers would be in broad agreement with "Fair Comment" with regard to his views on the beliefs of Lord Dowding and the experiences of A.

After having disposed with Lord Dowding and G.A. to his own satisfaction "Fair Comment" issues a challenge which by its wording appears open to all saucer theorists. I wrote offering myself as a "chopping block" and was a little surprised when I received a reply which implied that I, an unknown was not worthy of "Fair Comment's" steel. Coupled with dogmatism then we have intellectual snobbery, a formidable but not unsurmountable obstacle.

Will "Fair Comment's" intellectual snobbery permit him to listen to some down to earth facts, furthermore will his dogmatism allow him to consider dispassionately the facts presented for his enlightenment? We shall see... both the Air Ministry and the U.S. Air Force are and have been for some time past paying considerable attention to the presence of U.F.O's. The A.M. admit that they cannot account for 5% of the sightings they have investigated while the official statement from the Pentagon in Washington reveals a much larger percentage regarding their fields of research.

One challenge has already been made in this journal but another will do no harm. So come on "Fair Comment", do not forget that there are cleverer men than you who are not only considering the possibility, but the probability of the U.F.O's having an extra-terrestrial origin. Let us have some fair comments from you concerning the book

written by ex Captain E. Ruppelt who was head of the U.S. official "Project Blue Book", let us have some scientific consideration for the statistics contained in the chapter entitled "The Hierarchy Ponders".

I contend that there is evidence to support the probability of intelligently controlled objects having been present within the Earth's atmosphere which are not the results of engineering processes on this planet.

Come on now "Fair Comment", what have you to say".

D.W.

THE AMERICAN SITUATION

Jim Moseley, (ed. "Saucer News") has recently conducted an opinion poll and the results are very interesting. Noteworthy is the fact that they cast an unfavourable light on his own views.

Of the first 150 answers received, the breakdown was as follows:- 53 of the people replying (35%), believe that all saucers come from space: (this in spite of the fact that newspapers throughout the country had said that the U.S.A. were constructing saucer-like planes.) 59 of the people replying (39%) believe that some of the saucers come from space and 15 (10%) believe that at least a few come from space. Only 3 of the people replying (2%) believe that all saucers are made on earth. 25 (17%) believe that some are made on earth. Only 15 people (10%) said that they do not know what the origin of the saucers is. These figures add up to more than 100% because some people checked more than one answer.

In regard to the question "Have space men ever communicated with Earth men, either in person, by radio, etc." the answers were surprising. 63 of the people replying (42%) believe that space men have communicated with Earth men, whereas only 23 (15%) believe that they have not. 54 (36%) said "don't know". 7% didn't answer this question.

Without giving actual statistics it is revealed that almost a third of those replying to the question, "What is your opinion of the accounts by George Adamski" answered in the negative. Only 17% regarded them as true.

For the first time for several months, "Saucer News" has a distinctly "pro-saucer" flavour. This is provided by a lead article by Frank Reid, (several good sightings from astronomical sources), the aforementioned "Opinion Poll", and an article by Justin Case called, "Were the Cards Stacked". This last shows that the "Project Blue Book special report No. 14" is not the scientific answer to what the saucers really are. (Very ingenious). (Ed's note: Personal correspondence shows that Moseley has not changed his own views).

The June-July issue of S.N. has arrived. The highlight of this number is of course that Moseley comes up with "The Solution to the F.S. Mystery". It contains information which the editor of "Saucer News" has had up his sleeve for quite some time. An analysis of the report will appear in the next issue of "URANUS".

.....
"Could the Scully story be true?" A lot of red faces would appear amongst F.S. enthusiasts if they found out that the Scully story WAS true.

From "The Saucerian Bulletin";... "We have it from a highly respected researcher in a well known civilian organisation, who got it from the head of a large ice machinery firm. This executive heard it direct from the brother of one of his employees. I know this comes a long way round, but this brother who told him was a CATHOLIC PRIEST". According to the report, this priest gave last rites to the dead "little men". He then wrote to Rome for approval and WAS REPRIMANDED on the grounds that BEINGS BORN ON ANOTHER PLANET DO NOT COME UNDER THE POPE'S JURISDICTION.

"GEORGE (AETHERIUS SPEAKS) KING"

From my schooldays I can recall a Latin tag which alleged, "Rex non potest peccare" - "A King can do nothing wrong". I recall also that there was once in this country no end of trouble over the Divine Right of Kings. Again from History I remember something about an Old and a New Pretender.

So, whether George is a true King or merely another

Pretender, it would seem that he is making the very best use of his Divine Right to go about his regal business of attending to Court "Saucery". His latest claim, that his group have succeeded in recording the voice of Jesus on the "circle's" tape-recorder, might indicate that he has some idea that he is a Priest-King. It certainly does indicate something!

When first I attended the "Aetherius Speaks" addresses I was much impressed by the novel theories which were propounded by this tame Venusian "Public Relations Officer" from the "Instellar Government". Admittedly it took me some ten minutes to overcome my surprise at hearing what sounded like the resurrected voice of William "Lord Haw-Haw" Joyce, but such pearls as the following extracts from the very first "Aetherius" address require some beating:-

"There is not one person in the audience who does not know what is happening in the middle of the Sun";

"Saucers have been made to fly from Venus at 4 million times the speed of Light";

"A goat on Mars or a 5-legged serpent on Uranus",

"Everybody here is six million million billion years old - at the very least";

And, to cap it all, when asked whether "Aetherius" knew the "Chief Scientist" of the planet Venus (vide "Venus Speaks"), "Aethurius" gave this remarkable reply :-

"Be very wary of messages from Space, they can be the sub-conscious mechanisms of human minds. Do not believe in people who claim to be this or that".

O.K. Master "Aetherius", here's one six million million billion year-old who never will! I have enjoyed listening to you since taking these notes at your public debut on 29th January 1955. Others, too, will agree with me that you have certainly succeeded in showing to what lengths of gullibility "Saucerer" audiences can over-reach themselves. But let's leave Jesus Christ out of this hokum, what do you say? J.P.

ODD BITS HERE AND THERE

United Artists Picture: "If you have never seen the phenomena of the century, the flying saucer, you will soon have the opportunity, for United Artists will be releasing

within the next few weeks the first motion picture ever) to show actual films of the widely discussed mystery. With the intriguing title of "Unidentified Flying Objects" this amazing production reveals the hitherto suppressed appearance of 14 unidentified flying objects over Washington several years ago, and the unsuccessful pursuit by jet interceptor planes. Also presented for the first time is the film record of flying saucers over Utah and Montana in the U.S.A. One of the most unusual films ever made, "U.F.O." tells the entire story of the flying saucers, from the day the first saucer-sighting hit the front page back in 1947. It is not science fiction, but fact. It is based on all the known facts about flying saucers as compiled by the U.S. government, the U.S. Air Force, trained flying officers and reliable eye-witnesses to the appearance of the phenomena."

The account of the "Mexican contact" as contained in "F.S. Review" has several decidedly weak points. The car, carrying the Mexican driver and two tourists grinds to a halt making noises like an elephant giving birth to triplets. Something is wrong. It won't go any further that day so (this is important) the two tourists obtain another car and continue on their journey. The driver stays with his "stricken transport". First he sits in the car and then decides to take a look under its belly. While he is there two feet appear and to cut a long story short the driver spends all the evening and then all night in the car with the owners of the said two feet TALKING. The point is this, why if the two tourists could obtain other transport so easily couldn't the driver obtain a tow for his car??? According to the story the highway was a fairly busy one. Two men dressed like aviators would seem a little out of place in such surroundings. The fact that only one man spoke throughout the whole night would have at least prompted the Mexican to ask if they were Americans. Several other weak points exist but the first one I mentioned is very noticeable. The Mexican was later (quite suddenly) reminded of his wife and children and had an urgent desire to return to them. It took an abnormally long time for this "home sickness" to develop. For a long time the two "visitors" were accepted by the Mexican as aviators. The thought of obtaining help on a much

frequented highway would very quickly make its presence felt in the mind of this family man despite the interesting (albeit one-sided) conversation. No! the case requires further investigation and there is another point, the man had told his story to the newspapers - WHY HADN'T THE NEWS REACH NORTH AMERICA?

D.W.

???

CURRENT FLYING SAUCER LITERATURE AVAILABLE

<u>In English</u>	<u>Post Free</u>
Adamski - Inside the Space Ships	17/- -
Allingham, C. - F.S. from Mars	11/6 or \$2.00
Betherum, T. - Aboard a F.S.	23/- -
Barker, G. - Saucerian Review for 1955	11/9 -
Caiden, M. - Rockets Beyond Earth	19/- or \$3.00
Cramp, L.G. - Space, Gravity & F.S.	13/6 or \$2.20
Fort, C. - Works (1125 pages)	£2. 12. 3d.
Grivan, W. - F.S. & Common Sense	11/6 or \$2.00
Guieu, J. - F.S. From another World	13/6 or \$2.20
Howard, D. - Flight to Venus	5/9 or \$1.00
Howard, D. - Diane. She came from Venus	13/3 or \$2.20
Jessup, M.K. - Case for UFO	16/- -
Keyhoe, D.E. - F.S. from Outer Space	11/6 or \$2.00
Keyhoe, D.E. - F.S. Conspiracy - English Edition soon	
Last, C.E. - Man in the Universe	13/6 or \$2.20
Michael, C. - To Hell in a F.S.	19/- -
Michel, A. - Truth about F.S.	17/- -
Nelson, Earl - Life on Mars	14/6 or \$2.50
Ruppelt, E.J. - Report on UFO	19/- -
Sykes, E. - F.S. & Negative Matter) Negative or C.T. Matter)	2/9 or \$0.50
Van Tassel - Into this World and Out	11/9 -
Venus Speaks	5/9 or \$1.00
Wilkins, H.P. - Mysteries of Space & Time	16/- or \$2.50
Wilkins, H.T. - F.S. Uncensored - English edition promised	
SAUCERS Annual Subscription	10/- -
Aust. F.S. RECORD do do	8/- or \$1.50
Other F.S. Journals available.	(5 issues)

In French

Lenoir, M. - L'Espece Vaincu	7/6 or \$1.20
Neher, F. - Mars Aller-Retour	18/- or \$3.00

MARKHAM HOUSE PRESS LTD., 31 KING'S ROAD, LONDON, S.W.3.